HE 52

Y Pwyllgor Cymunedau, Cydraddoldeb a Llywodraeth Leol Communities, Equality and Local Government Committee Bil yr Amgylchedd Hanesyddol (Cymru)/Historic Environment (Wales) Bill Ymateb gan: Y Sefydiliad Cadwraeth Adeiladu Hanesyddol

Response from: Institute of Historic Building Conservation

Nathan Blanchard IHBC Wales Chair 31 Station Road Deganwy CONWY LL31 9DF

Committee Clerk
Communities, Equality and Local Government Committee
National Assembly for Wales
Cardiff Bay,
CARDIFF
CF99 1NA

t.01492 583443 / 07885 176496 e. ihbcwales@hotmail.co.uk

17<sup>th</sup> June 2015

Dear Sirs,

# Consultation on the Historic Environment (Wales) Bill

The Institute of Historic Building Conservation (IHBC) Wales Branch is the professional body for building conservation practitioners and historic environment experts working in Wales, from across the private, public and third sectors, and forms a part of the UK wide Institute. The Institute exists to establish, develop and maintain the highest standards of conservation practice, to support the effective protection and enhancement of the historic environment, and to promote heritage-led regeneration and access to the historic environment for all.

We welcome the Committee's invite to be consulted on the draft Bill's contents. We welcome the broad objectives of the Bill but have a number of points that we feel are worthy of further consideration by the Committee, namely:

#### **Historic Environment Records**

### **Headline Points:**

- New duty imposes additional future costs on Local Planning Authorities at a time of budget cuts
- Proposal overplays the relevance of HERS to day to day planning decision making, with less than 12% of planning application having a HER input, suggesting the proposal for LPA's to take responsibility is flawed
- Through new requirements on staff to maintain the record, gives greater emphasis to record keeping than managing heritage assets or change to them through planning
- Alternative arrangements need to be considered, including the duty being transferred to
   Ministers (and delivered through the Royal Commission and Trusts)

The draft Bill makes reference to a new statutory duty: 'Each local planning authority in Wales must create and keep up to date a historic environment record.' Part 4, 33 (1), which lead to a number of potentially serious impacts on the Local Planning Authority (LPA). A number of questions remain unanswered by the Bill's explanatory document and draft accompanying guidance, namely:

Relevance to LPA's – it is unclear what the relevance of the HERS is to day to day decision making, beyond the statutory records the LPA already holds to inform decision making (Listed Building descriptions, Conservation Area appraisals etc) and the proportion of HERS inputs into other non-designated heritage assets. For example, in the last year to 17<sup>th</sup> June 2015 Isle of Anglesey County Council received 1,210 planning applications of all types, to which we received 139 responses on the HERS from the Trust on planning applications, or only 11.5% of the all the applications it processes. This indicates the lack of relevance for HERS within the LPA.

Ownership – This remains unresolved, where the Welsh Archaeological Trusts see themselves as the legal owners, yet the Council are duty bound to maintain, in effect through the draft Bill someone else's asset where the LPA's appear ultimately responsible for all the costs for but proportionally see little benefit.

Understanding of Costs & Impacts – The costs and options in the Explanatory memorandum are not crystal clear on the impacts to LPA's, especially in the circumstances where the current Royal Commission funding support to the Trusts to maintain the HERS in the future diminishes or is withdrawn and the impact on already stretched LPA budgets and the likely future pressures to deliver a mainstream Planning service created by the Planning Bill.

**Equality of competencies** – The Bill introduces detailed requirements placed on LPA's to ensure skilled archaeologically focussed officers are employed to maintain and manage the HERS. No such requirements are placed on LPA's in relation to LB/CA as designated heritage assets for Conservation Officers, surely this is suggesting government is placing greater weight on records than the actual asset and was not their intention. It could also rather perversely mean that the only statutory post within a LPA is that of a HERS officer, not a planner.

Standards – Should the HERS be transferred to LPA's, how will they respond to planning service needs and in maintaining collection standards for archives and record keeping, how will these be dealt with, especially in relation to IT system integration and updating costs, which differ from existing Planning IT systems. The Royal Commission could also impose new standards, with the burden falling on LPA's to fund any shortfall at a time of budgetary constraint.

Accountability – The split of the statutory responsibility and costs to the LPA and standards to the Royal Commission, while the record is held by a third party (a Trust) appears to indicate a confusing split for proper management and oversight. Sufficient safeguards should be established to ensure LPA's are not left exposed to the costs being incurred and changes in collection standards.

Legacy Issues – Categorical reassurance have to be given to LPA's over unresolved historic and potential future pension and tax liabilities of the Welsh Archaeological Trusts, given previous problems suffered by at least one of the four bodies. LPA's should not be held liable for additional costs due to poor financial management of an external body.

Conclusion - While it could be argued as to the value or not of a statutory of HER, the real issue is placing it with an LPA just seems wholly the wrong fit, especially as most relevant records are already held by LPA's. The current proposal misunderstands and overplays the limited role of HERS in day to day planning decision making and the impact on Development Control work. The better location would be with Local Archives or remaining with the Trusts but overseen and the duty resting with the Welsh Ministers through the Royal Commission.

The issues of the potential impact of costs was briefly touched upon in a previous report by the National Assembly's on Historic Environment policy from March 2013 and the matter of HERS was only briefly discussed but became a recommendation - number 2 (pg 24 / para 48). As the IFA mentions "we cannot be confident of maintaining that provision in the future in the light of the funding challenges facing all public bodies".

In effect the funding challenge has been recognised with the current arrangements and somehow the Bill suggests placing the costs with LPA's is the preferred solution, potentially placing other elements of planning at risk, as budgets inevitably diminish over the coming years potentially placing more mainstream planning activities at risk from this most recent proposal set out in the draft HE Bill.

# **Urgent Works**

# **Headline Points:**

- The draft Bill brings existing historic environment legislation in line with Housing and Building Acts to recover costs
- Creates new impetus for Local planning authorities to act with more confidence in the use of urgent works powers to address buildings at risk, whether occupied or not
- However, needs to be supported by Welsh Government with sufficient funding to allow powers to be effectively utilised

The draft Bill proposes to allow LPA's to extend the scope of the urgent works notice to any part of building where it does not interfere unnecessarily with that use (Part 30 (4a) and an ability to under (6) recover costs under the Act through a legal charge and where necessary an enforced sale.

The extension of the Bill in scope tackles the less scrupulous owners who claimed the building was occupied and therefore the power became moot, despite the occupation being at best interim or an ad-hoc basis to a limited element of the building. This proposed power allows for urgent works to be undertaken where it would interfere with a residential use and no doubt undertaking the works could benefit the occupier.

The new power will give local planning authorities more confidence in the use of the power as the risk of taking action and not recovering their investment is reduced significantly and brings the law in relation to historic buildings in line with similar powers held under the Housing and Building Acts, which is welcome.

However, the powers will only be useful if there is financial and strategic direction provided by Welsh Government in supporting their use and ensuring a national strategy for buildings at risk. Cadw has undertaken much sterling work in recent years in providing a comprehensive national snap shot of the condition of Wales' designated Listed Buildings and has offered some financial support towards talking particular buildings. However, without a national strategy to support tackling buildings at risk, the powers real ability to contribute to saving the nation's designated heritage assets will be diminished.

**Advisory Panel for the Welsh Historic Environment** 

**Headline points:** 

- Welcome creation of the Panel to advise Ministers but needs to avoid duplication with the existing Historic Environment Group (HEG)
- Needs to be transparent in appointment, working and reporting to ensure credibility

The creation of an Advisory Panel is welcome, in providing expert advice to the Minister. This proposal deals with the abolition of the former Ancient Monument Board and Historic Buildings Council for Wales in 2006. The proposed range of exclusions ensures wider set of contributors, given the dominance of certain interest and lack of transparent appointment on the existing Historic Environment Group (HEG). The Panel is vital to ensure voices beyond Welsh Government have a route to the Minister but needs to avoid duplication with HEG's role.

However, the Panel needs to be vehicle whereby expert opinion could genuinely challenge Welsh Ministers and Cadw on their policies and strategies, and drive a positive agenda. It could be questioned how independent the panel would be, given that its members would be appointed by the Welsh Government and that its work programme must be approved by the Welsh Ministers. Since the Advisory Panel is intended to introduce greater transparency and accountability it is generally felt that the Bill and Explanatory Memorandum should explain how transparency and accountability are to be achieved – the Historic Environment Group (HEG) for example has done useful work but this goes unreported and unpublished. For instance, in comparison to HEG the Advisory Panel's work must be wholly transparent with its work programme published, while at present there is apparently no requirement to publish its output and this should be considered a statutory obligation.

I trust the above comments are helpful in the Committee's deliberations but if you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me on the details on the first page.

Yours faithfully,

Nathan Blanchard IHBC Wales Chair